


COURTS IN SANTA CRUZ COUNTY INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY STRATEGIC PLAN 2013-2015 SUMMARY



LOCAL INITIATIVES, DRIVERS, AND PRESSURES

- Install calendar display system at superior court.
- Install public address systems in superior court courtrooms.
- Implement EDMS and electronic citations in limited jurisdiction court environment.
- Prepare for statewide limited jurisdiction CMS implementation.
- Update web site to provide forms compliant with Language Access Plan.

CY 2010/11 ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- Completed new county facilities and co-located court departments in Nogales.
- Provided judges with capability to conduct video initial appearance hearings.
- Began transmitting record on appeal electronically.
- Began contributing criminal minute entries to statewide system for public access.
- Enabled keyless card entry for employee physical security in new buildings.

Statewide Projects: Impacts, Concerns, and Participation Plans

LJ CMS/Bench Auto	Desire more standard reports, better disposition reporting, process and code standardization; judges desire workload management; will be mid-cycle adopters.
JOLTSaz/AZYAS	Desire reduced data duplication and increased data integration; pioneer court for detention/visitation module; will be early adopter.
LJ EDMS	Desire to implement disconnected scanning; will be mid-cycle adopters.
e-Filing/Std Forms	Recognize savings in clerk labor and paper through simpler procedures; will be mid-cycle adopter.
Architecture/Security	Don't perform local development; a few items are in containment status.

TECHNOLOGY PROJECTS					
Project	Year/ Status	Project Detail Provided			Comments
		Full¹	Skeletal²	Mention³	
Electronic Calendar Display	FY13		X		Superior court
PA Systems in Courtrooms	FY12		X		Superior court and JP#1
Website Updates / Forms	FY13		X		Superior court
AJACS Rollout	FY>16		X		LJ courts; part of statewide project

Note 1:

An “X” in “Full” indicates that the court has provided full detailed information about the project according to the general parameters outlined in the Commission on Technology’s Project Management Methodology. Also, risk analysis, impact, project costs and funding information has been provided.

Note 2:

An “X” in “Skeletal” indicates that the court provided detail about the local project in the master projects listing spreadsheet. Complete information, usually risks, impact analysis, project costs and funding, was not provided.

Note 3:

An “X” in “Mention” indicates that the court mentioned this project in a summary or listed it in an initiative. It may have been a phrase or a full paragraph of description, but did not contain detailed project-oriented information. If these projects are related to pursuing standards or directions already adopted (e.g., OnBase EDMS implementation, Jury+ upgrade, digital audio in the courtroom), then any mention which includes appropriate funding information is sufficient.